





A real world application Tête Rousse Glacier

Olivier GAGLIARDINI

IGE - Grenoble - France





Tête Rousse Glacier

Context

- The history of Tête Rousse Glacier
- The 2010 water filled-cavity
- Analysis of the cavity roof stability (Autumn 2010)

Step 1

- Tête Rousse Glacier flow without a water filled-cavity (diagnostic)

Step 2

- Influence of an empty cavity below Tête Rousse Glacier (diagnostic)


✓ Step 3

- Rate of closure of the cavity for a given drainage scenario (prognostic)





Location (Mont Blanc Area, France Alps)







Location (Mont Blanc Area, France Alps)

Tête Rousse glacier 3100 to 3300 m 0.08 km² (2007)







Chronology

The Past History – The 1892 catastrophe

Contemporary history:

2007-10 - Studies to answer the question about the necessity to maintain the tunnel

07/2010 - A water filled cavity under pressure is discovered

- Crisis Artificial drainage
- 2011 Small research program to understand the formation of the cavity
 - New crisis Artificial drainage
- 2012 New Artificial drainage needed
- 2016 Still under survey build of an artificial spillway






The 1892 catastrophe

11 July 1892

175 fatalities

100 000 m³ of water

Flood produced 800 000 m³ of sediment






Fig. 22. — Le pont de la route départementale n° 4 tourné et submergé par la lave. 13 juillet 1892. — Cliché Kuss.




O. GAGLIARDINI - 22&23 October 2018 - Rovaniemi

@Vincent, LGGE




The 1892 catastrophe





Is there still a risk at Tête Rousse ?




O. GAGLIARDINI - 22&23 October 2018 - Rovaniemi



@Vincent, LGGE



- . Topographic measurements
- . Radar measurements
- . Temperature measurements
- . Mass balance measurements






@Vincent, LGGE







The radar measurements showed a zone (volume) with an anomaly.






In Sept 2009, geophysical survey using the Magnetic Resonance Imaging (LTHE, Grenoble)



O. GAGLIARDINI - 22&23 October 2018 - Rovaniemi

@Vincent, LGGE





Water volume of 65 000 m³


Report presented to public authorities in March 2010

@Vincent, LGGE





Pressure measurements



20 hot-water drillings performed from 29 June to 8 July 2010

Confirm the presence of a cavity

and that

the cavity is under pressure!







Decisions

The hydrostatic pressure exceeded the ice pressure due to the weight of the ice column

We could expect that the water contained in the glacier would be released suddenly

The public authorities have been warned immediately (13 July, 2010)

It has been decided to drain the subglacial lake as soon as possible, because 3000 people were threatened in the valley.





A difficult field work








Drainage of the cavity








A new risk ?



The 2010 cavity

Pumping of 47 700 m³ from 25 August to 8 October 2010


Question (addressed end of August 2010): What is the risk of break-up during the pumping phase?







Time-line for investigations



Meeting with the mayor of St Gervais





Proposed application

Construct a model of the flow of Tête Rousse Glacier

- Step 1: Without the cavity (normal state)
- Step 2: Add an empty cavity (stress analysis)
- Step 3: Rate of closure of the cavity

(surface deformation analysis)






Data for ice flow modelling

- Bedrock DEM

IGE

- 2007 Surface DEM
- Cavity topography from sonar measurements
- Few surface velocities, without the empty cavity (0.6 m/a at the centre of the glacier)
- 27 Stakes to measure surface displacement during drainage



Material:

README.txt

Data: Contour_TR_cavity.dat, Contour_TR_glacier.dat, DEM_TR_bed.dat, DEM_TR_cavity.dat, DEM_TR_surf.dat

PROG: USF_TR.f90

Step1: Makegeo.py, teterousse1.sif

Step2a: Makegeo_2.py, teterousse2a.sif

Step2b: teterousse2b.sif

Step3a: teterousse3a.sif

Step3b: teterousse3b.sif

Step3c: teterousse3c.sif





Modelling Tête Rousse Glacier

✓ **Step 1** - Tête Rousse Glacier flow without a water filled-cavity (diagnostic)

✓ Step 2

- 2a Influence of an empty cavity below Tête Rousse Glacier (diagnostic)
- 2b Apply a water pressure in the cavity

✓ Step 3

- 3a Rate of closure of the cavity for a given drainage scenario (prognostic)
- 3b Add a drainage scenario





Step 1: Work to do

- create the mesh
- impose the boundary conditions in the SIF file
- test other BCs on the lateral boundary
- test sliding at the base of the glacier






Step 1: steps to make the mesh

1/ build the teterousse0.geo file (input file of GMSH, footprint of the glacier)

2/ gmsh to get teterousse0.msh (still footprint of the glacier)

3/ **ElmerGrid** to transform into Elmer format (still footprint of the glacier)

4/ we will use the internal extrusion feature in Elmer to create a volume from this footprint (see the sif file)







Step 1: Makegeo.py (create a .geo file)

The python script Makegeo.py :

- read the point coordinates in the contour file
- create the teterousse0.geo file (input file for GMSH)

Options :

- the contour can be made of one spline or many lines in between points
- one can choose the size of the elements around the contour





Step 1: GMSH (create a .msh file)

> gmsh teterousse0.geo -1 -2

help: http://www.geuz.org/gmsh/

line commands: "-2" performs 1D and 2D mesh generation and then exit

> ElmerGrid 14 2 teterousse0.msh -autoclean

transform a GMSH mesh (14) into an Elmer mesh (2)

> ElmerGrid 14 5 teterousse0.msh -autoclean

transform a GMSH mesh (14) into a vtu file (5) use Paraview to visualize the mesh





Step 1: In the sif file

Define the number of vertical layers (Simulation section):

```
Simulation
Coordinate System = Cartesian 3D
Simulation Type = Steady
```

Extruded Mesh Levels = Integer 16

End

•••

The second solver to be executed is the StructuredMeshMapper

```
Solver 2
Equation = "MapCoordinate"
Procedure = "StructuredMeshMapper" "StructuredMeshMapper"
Active Coordinate = Integer 3 (3d problem - mesh moves in z direction)
Mesh Velocity Variable = String "dSdt"
Mesh Update Variable = String "dS"
Mesh Velocity First Zero = Logical True
Displacement Mode = Logical False
Correct Surface = Logical True
Minimum Height = Real 1.0
End
```





Step 1: In the sif file

Read, interpolate and store in 2 variables the bed and surface DEM

```
Solver 1
 Exec Solver = "Before Simulation"
  Equation = "Read DEMs"
  Procedure = "ElmerIceSolvers" "Grid2DInterpolator"
  ! Bedrock DEM
  Variable 1 = String "bedDEM"
 Variable 1 data file = File "../Data/DEM TR bed.dat" name of the DEM file
 Variable 1 x0 = Real 947700.000
  Variable 1 y0 = Real 2104850.0d0
  Variable 1 lx = Real 600.0
                                            define the DEM file structure
 Variable 1 ly = Real 350.0
  Variable 1 Nx = Integer 301
 Variable 1 Ny = Integer 176
 Variable 1 Invert = Logical False
 Variable 1 Fill = Logical False
                                                 ly
 Variable 1 Position Tol = Real 1.0e-1
                                                      Ny +1 lines
 Variable 1 No Data = Real -9999.0
  Variable 1 No Data Tol = Real 1.0
                                                           Nx + 1 colums
                                                (x0,y0)
                                                              Ix
   Surface DEM ...
```

End





Step 1: In the sif

BedDEM and ZsDEM (variable) must be declared in one solver (Stokes for example)

```
Exported Variable 3 = -dofs 1 "BedDEM"
Exported Variable 4 = -dofs 1 "ZsDEM"
```

Keywords Bottom Surface and Top Surface (needed by the solver StructuredMeshMapper) are assigned the value of these two variables

```
!Bed rock BC
Boundary Condition 2
Bottom Surface = Equals BedDEM
...
End
! Upper Surface BC
Boundary Condition 3
Top Surface = Equals ZsDEM
End
```





Step 1: use Glen's law

$$D_{ij} = A \tau_e^{n-1} S_{ij}$$
 ; $S_{ij} = A^{-1/n} I_{D_2}^{(1-n)/n} D_{ij}$

$$A = A(T') = A_0 \exp^{-Q/RT'}$$

$$A = A_1 = 2.89 \times 10^{-13} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{Pa}^{-3} \text{ if } T \le -10^{\circ} \text{C}$$
$$A = A_2 = 2.43 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{Pa}^{-3} \text{ if } T \ge -10^{\circ} \text{C}$$

$$Q = Q_1 = 60 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ if } T \le -10^{\circ}\text{C}$$

 $Q = Q_2 = 115 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ if } T \ge -10^{\circ}\text{C}$

Cuffey and Paterson (2010)

A* =	3.50000E-25	s^-1 Pa^-3
A1 =	2.89165E-13	s^-1 Pa^-3
A2 =	2.42736E-02	s^-1 Pa^-3
Q1 =	60000	J/mol
Q2 =	115000	J/mol
T [°C]	A [s^-1 Pa^-3]	A [a^-1 MPa^-3]
0	2.4029E-24	75.830
-1	1.9945E-24	62.942
-2	1.6533E-24	52.173
-3	1.3685E-24	43.186
-4	1.1312E-24	35.698
-5	9.3370E-25	29.465
-6	7.6958E-25	24.286
-7	6.3339E-25	19.988
-8	5.2054E-25	16.427
-9	4.2716E-25	13.480
-10	3.5000E-25	11.045
-10	3.5000E-25	11.045
-11	3.1520E-25	9.947
-12	2.8363E-25	8.951
-13	2.5501E-25	8.048
-14	2.2910E-25	7.230
-15	2.0564E-25	6.490
-16	1.8444E-25	5.820
-17	1.6528E-25	5.216
-18	1.4798E-25	4.670
-19	1.3238E-25	4.177
-20	1.1831E-25	3.734
-21	1.0565E-25	3.334
-22	9.4260E-26	2.975
-23	8.4019E-26	2.651
-24	7.4822E-26	2.361
-25	6.6570E-26	2.101
-30	3.6580E-26	1.154
-35	1.9601E-26	0.619
-40	1.0225E-26	0.323
-45	5.1843E-27	0.164
-50	2.5496E-27	0.080

assume a constant temperature of -1°C





Step 1: use Glen's law

```
yearinsec = 365.25 \times 24 \times 60 \times 60
rhoi = 900.0/(1.0e6*yearinsec^{2})
rhow = 1000.0/(1.0e6*yearinsec^2)
$gravity = -9.81*yearinsec^2
! Prefactor from Cuffey&Paterson (2010) in MPa^{-3} a^{-1}
A1 = 2.89165e - 13* yearinsec* 1.0e18
                                           s<sup>-1</sup> Pa<sup>-3</sup> to a<sup>-1</sup> MPa<sup>-3</sup>
A2 = 2.42736e - 2*yearinsec*1.0e18
$01 = 60.0e3
$02 = 115.0e3
Material 1
  Density = Real $rhoi
  Viscosity Model = String "glen"
  Viscosity = 1.0 ! Dummy but avoid warning output
  Glen Exponent = Real 3.0
  Limit Temperature = Real -10.0
  Rate Factor 1 = \text{Real }$A1
  Rate Factor 2 = \text{Real }$A2
  Activation Energy 1 = \text{Real }$Q1
  Activation Energy 2 = \text{Real} $02
  Glen Enhancement Factor = Real 1.0
  Critical Shear Rate = Real 1.0e-10
  Constant Temperature = Real -1.0
End
```






Step 1: Hypothesis of the modelling


Solve only the Stokes equation in a diagnostic way

3 boundary conditions





Step 1: Boundary Conditions







Step 1: Stress Solver

Objective: compute the stress field as

$$\int_{V} S_{ij} \Phi \, \mathrm{d}V = 2 \int_{V} \eta D_{ij} \Phi \, \mathrm{d}V$$

where D_{ij} and η are calculated from the nodal velocities using the derivative of the basis functions

```
Solver 4
Equation = Sij
Procedure = "ElmerIceSolvers" "ComputeDevStress"
Variable = -nooutput "Sij"
Variable DOFs = 1
Exported Variable 1 = Stress
Exported Variable 1 DOFs = 6
Flow Solver Name = String "Flow Solution"
Linear System Solver = Direct
Linear System Direct Method = umfpack
End
```





Step 1: Stress Solver

- Tell you want the Cauchy stress to be computed (Material Section) (else you will get the deviatoric stress)

```
Material 1
Cauchy Stress = Logical True
End
```

- Output : negative stress = Compressive stress positive stress = Tensile stress

Stress.1	\rightarrow	S_{xx}	Stress.4	\rightarrow	S_{xv}
Stress.2	\rightarrow	S_{vv}	Stress.5	\rightarrow	S_{vz}
Stress.3	-		Stress.6	•	-





Step 1: Eigenvalues Solver

Objective: compute the eigenvalues of the Cauchy stress tensor

```
Solver 5
  Equation = "EigenStresses"
   Procedure = "ElmerIceSolvers" "ComputeEigenValues"
  Variable = -nooutput dumy
  Variable DOFs = 1
   ! The 3 eigenvalues
   Exported Variable 1 = EigenStress
   Exported Variable 1 DOFS = 3
   ! The 3 eigenvectors (Option)
   Exported Variable 2 = EigenVector1
   Exported Variable 2 DOFS = 3
   Exported Variable 3 = EigenVector2
   Exported Variable 3 DOFS = 3
   Exported Variable 4 = EigenVector3
  Exported Variable 4 DOFS = 3
End
```





Step 1: Eigenvalues Solver


Output : negative stress = Compressive stress positive stress = Tensile stress ordered → Eigenstress.3 gives the maximal tensile stress

> Eigenstress.1 \rightarrow S₁ Eigenstress.2 \rightarrow S₂ Eigenstress.3 \rightarrow S₃





Step 1: Add sliding on the bedrock



How to evaluate the Slip Coefficient ?





Step 1: Other BCs for the lateral boundary

```
! lateral side of the glacier
Boundary Condition 1
  Target Boundaries = 1
End
```

```
Natural BC
```

```
! lateral side of the glacier
Boundary Condition 1
Target Boundaries = 1
Velocity 1 = real 0.0
Velocity 2 = real 0.0
Velocity 3 = real 0.0
End
```

zero velocity

Conclusion?





Modelling Tête Rousse Glacier

✓ **Step 1** - Tête Rousse Glacier flow without a water filled-cavity (diagnostic)


✓ Step 2

- 2a Influence of an empty cavity below Tête Rousse Glacier (diagnostic)
- 2b Apply a water pressure in the cavity
- ✓ Step 3
 - 3a Rate of closure of the cavity for a given drainage scenario (prognostic)
 - 3b Add a drainage scenario





Step 2a: Add the cavity (empty of water)







Step 2a: new Bottom Surface definition

The bottom surface of the ice is now given by the DEM_TR_cavity.dat DEM file.

Change the StructuredMeshMapper to read this DEM and store it in the ZbDEM variable

Declare this new variable (in Stokes solver section): Exported Variable 5 = -dofs 1 "ZbDEM"

Change the boundary condition 2:


```
Boundary Condition 2
Bottom Surface = Equals ZbDEM
End
```





Step 2a: Make a new mesh

We will use the cavity contour to have smaller size elements in the vicinity of the cavity



Work to do : modify the Makegeo.py file to create this new mesh





Step 2a: Make a new mesh (Makegeo_2.py) 1/2

The python script Makegeo_2.py :

- read the point coordinates in two contour files (cavity and glacier)
- create the teterousse.geo file (input file for GMSH)

Options :

- the contour can be made of one spline or many lines in between points
- one can choose the size of the elements around the two contours

Make the mesh :

- > gmsh teterousse.geo -1 -2
- > ElmerGrid 14 2 teterousse.msh -autoclean

See the difference between the two python script:

> diff Makegeo_2.py ../Step1/Makegeo.py





Step 2a: Change in the basal BC

```
The basal BC will be of the form:
   Velocity 1 = Real 0.0
   Velocity 1 Condition = Variable ZbDEM, BedDEM
        Real MATC "-(tx(0) > tx(1))"
```

```
Velocity 1 Condition = -1 if zbDEM > BedDEM => Don't apply "Velocity 1 = 0"
= +1 if zbDEM <= BedDEM => Apply "Velocity 1 = 0"
```

And the same for Velocity 2 and Velocity 3.

Visualize the results in ElmerPost. What does it change in term of velocity and stress?





Step 2a: Change in the basal BC

2nd Solution – use a f90 user function instead of MATC language

The basal BC will be of the form: Velocity 1 = Real 0.0 Velocity 1 Condition = Variable ZbDEM, BedDEM Real Procedure "../PROG/USF TR" "MaskCavity"

Compile the user function USF_TR.f90 in PROG/

elmerf90 USF_TR.f90 -o USF_TR

Best solution for large problem:

a f90 user function is much faster than MATC coding in the sif!






Step 2a: MaskCavity user function

```
FUNCTION MaskCavity (Model, nodenumber, Input) RESULT (Mask)
   USE types
   USE CoordinateSystems
   USE SolverUtils
   USE ElementDescription
   USE DefUtils
   IMPLICIT NONE
   TYPE (Model t) :: Model
   TYPE(Solver t), TARGET :: Solver
   INTEGER :: nodenumber
   REAL(KIND=dp) :: Input(2), Mask
   REAL(KIND=dp) :: znode, zbed
   znode = Input(1)
   zbed = Input(2)
   IF (znode > zbed+0.1) THEN
      Mask = -1.0
   ELSE
      Mask = 1.0
   END IF
END FUNCTION MaskCavity
```





Observed crevasses one year after 1st drainage



circular crevasses observed in August 2011







Evolution of the cavity geometry



Break off of part of the cavity roof after the 2012 artificial drainage.





Modelling Tête Rousse Glacier

✓ **Step 1** - Tête Rousse Glacier flow without a water filled-cavity (diagnostic)

✓ Step 2


- 2a Influence of an empty cavity below Tête Rousse Glacier (diagnostic)
- 2b Apply a water pressure in the cavity
- ✓ Step 3
 - 3a Rate of closure of the cavity for a given drainage scenario (prognostic)
 - 3b Add a drainage scenario





Step 2b: Add a water pressure

Modify the SIF to add a water pressure



hw = 3176.0

the water load

```
In the bedrock BC
```

```
External Pressure = Variable Coordinate 3
    Real MATC "rhow*gravity*(hw-tx)
```

will only apply where a Dirichlet BC is not applied i.e. in the cavity



O. GAGLIARDINI - 22&23 October 2018 - Rovaniemi



52

Modelling Tête Rousse Glacier

✓ **Step 1** - Tête Rousse Glacier flow without a water filled-cavity (diagnostic)

✓ Step 2

- 2a Influence of an empty cavity below Tête Rousse Glacier (diagnostic)
- 2b Apply a water pressure in the cavity

✓ Step 3

- 3a Rate of closure of the cavity for a given drainage scenario (prognostic)
- 3b Add a drainage scenario





Step 3a: Move to prognostic

Will do it in two steps

- Move to prognostic assuming the cavity is empty of water at t=0 (big step, needs 2 new solvers!)
- Prescribe the observed drainage scenario for the water pressure

To move from a diagnostic to a prognostic simulations:

- Add the FreeSurface solver (here 2 times, since we have 2 FS)
- Add one body per FS (new Initial Condition and Equation Sections)
- Modifications in the Simulation and Boundary Condition Sections

Only shown for the upper free surface here





Step 3a – Steady to transient

The simulation Section has to be modified:


```
Simulation Type = Transient
Timestepping Method = "bdf"
                                -----> Backward Differences Formulae
BDF Order = 1
Output Intervals = 1
Timestep Intervals = 50
Timestep Sizes = $10.0/365.25
                                          To control the "implicity" of the solution
Steady State Min Iterations = 1
                                     \longrightarrow over one time step (here 1 means
Steady State Max Iterations = 1
                                          explicit)
Restart File = "../../Step2a/teterousse/teterousse Step2a .result"
Restart Position = 0
Restart Time = Real 0.0
Restart Before Initial Conditions = Logical True
```

We need a restart to have the ZsDEM and ZbDEM variables for the initial condition of Zs and Zb



Step 3a – Sketch of a transient simulation

Geometry + Mesh ---- Degrees of freedom







Step 3a – Free surface Solver

The free surface solver only apply to the boundary 3 (upper surface) → Define a 2nd body which is on boundary 3.

```
Body 2
Equation = 2
Body Force = 2
Material = 1
Initial Condition = 2
End
```

where Equation 2, Body Force 2 and Initial Condition 2 are defined for the free surface equation of the upper surface.

Tell in BC3 that this is the body 2:

```
Boundary Condition 3
Body Id = 2
...
End
```





Step 3a – Add the Free surface Solver

```
Solver 6
  Equation = "Free Surface Top"
 Variable = String "Zs"
 Variable DOFs = 1
  Exported Variable 1 = String "Zs Residual"
  Exported Variable 1 DOFs = 1
  Procedure = "FreeSurfaceSolver" "FreeSurfaceSolver"
  Before Linsolve = "EliminateDirichlet" "EliminateDirichlet"
 Linear System Solver = Iterative
 Linear System Max Iterations = 1500
 Linear System Iterative Method = BiCGStab
 Linear System Preconditioning = ILU0
 Linear System Convergence Tolerance = Real 1.0e-9
 Linear System Abort Not Converged = False
 Linear System Residual Output = 1
 Nonlinear System Max Iterations = 100
 Nonlinear System Convergence Tolerance = 1.0e-6
 Nonlinear System Relaxation Factor = 1.00
  Steady State Convergence Tolerance = 1.0e-03
  Stabilization Method = Bubbles
 Apply Dirichlet = Logical False
! How much the free surface is relaxed
  Relaxation Factor = Real 1.00
End
```



Step 3a – Upper Surface

Body Force 2:

```
Body Force 2
Zs Accumulation Flux 1 = Real 0.0e0
Zs Accumulation Flux 2 = Real 0.0e0
Zs Accumulation Flux 3 = Real 0.0e0
End
```

Equation 2:

```
Equation 2
  Active Solvers(1) = 6
  Flow Solution Name = String "Flow Solution"
  Convection = String Computed
End
```

Initial Condition 2: (tell that $z_s(x, 0)$ is given by the surface DEM)

```
Initial Condition 2
    Zs = Equals ZsDEM
End
```





Step 3a - StructuredMeshMapper

We say in StructuredMeshMapper that the top (and bottom) surface is defined by the variable zs:

```
Solver 2
Equation = "MapCoordinate"
Procedure = "StructuredMeshMapper" "StructuredMeshMapper"
Active Coordinate = Integer 3
Mesh Velocity Variable = String "dSdt"
Mesh Update Variable = String "dS"
Mesh Velocity First Zero = Logical True
```

```
Top Surface Variable Name = String "Zs"
Bottom Surface Variable Name = String "Zb"
```

End

End

IGE

And delete from the BC the initial definition of the top (and bottom) surface:

```
Boundary Condition 3
!!! this BC is equal to body no. 2 !!!
Body Id = 2
```

```
- Top Surface - Equals ZsDEM
```





Step 3a – Same for the bedrock

Name of the variable: Zb Add solver : Solver 7 Add equation: Equation 3

Modify the the Bottom surface BC (3):

```
Boundary Condition 2

Body Id = 3

<del>Bottom Surface - Equals ZbDEM</del>

End
```

Add a limiter to ensure that $z_b \ge b$ In the material section

Min Zb = Equals BedDEM Max Zb = Real +1.0e10

+ in the Free Surface solver : Apply Dirichlet = Logical True





Step 3a – Newton linearization

If you want to use Newton linearization for the non-linear iterations, don't forget to reset the conditions used to move from Picard to Newton at each time step, by adding:

```
Solver 3
Equation = "Navier-Stokes"
Nonlinear System Reset Newton = Logical True
```


End





Step 3a – Cavity volume

Add the SaveScalars (Elmer) and UpdateExport (Elmer/Ice) solvers to save the cavity volume at each time step in an ASCII file.







Step 3a – Two subtleties...

1/ Need of the restart...

Initial conditions are set before the first solver is executed Impossible then to initialize with an other variable This is then done by using a restart and specifying:

Restart Before Initial Conditions = Logical True

2/ Problem when a solver is called two time in the same sif...

Need to make a copy of the object file to avoid mixing of the saved variables in the solver from two different calls:

cp \$ELMER_HOME/share/elmersolver/lib/FreeSurfaceSolver.so
MyFreeSurfaceSolver

Use a different call in the sif file for Zb:

Procedure = "./MyFreeSurfaceSolver" "FreeSurfaceSolver"





Modelling Tête Rousse Glacier

✓ **Step 1** - Tête Rousse Glacier flow without a water filled-cavity (diagnostic)

✓ Step 2

- 2a Influence of an empty cavity below Tête Rousse Glacier (diagnostic)
- 2b Apply a water pressure in the cavity

✓ Step 3

- 3a Rate of closure of the cavity for a given drainage scenario (prognostic)
- 3b Add a drainage scenario





Step 3b – Add a drainage scenario

Add an evolution of the water load of the linear form:

 $h_w = 3170.0 - t * \Delta h_w / \Delta t$

Work to do:

- write a MATC function hw to prescribe the water load evolution

- write a User Function to do the same (see USF_TR.f90)





Step 3b – Add a drainage scenario

MATC function hw to prescribe the water load evolution:

```
! Water load function of time (in year)
! Decrease by DH in DT and h > 3100.0
$ function hw(t) {\
    DH = 70.0;\
    DT = 20.0;\
    h = 3170.0 - t*365.25*DH/DT ;\
    if (h > 3100.0) {\
        _hw = h ;\
```

```
} else {\
_hw = 3100.0 ;\
}\
```

Call in the bedrock BC

```
External Pressure = Variable time, Coordinate 3
    Real MATC "rhow*gravity*(hw(tx(0))-tx(1))
```



}



More Steps ???

Some ideas:

- go parallel
- add the Savedata solver to get upper and/or lower surfaces ASCII output
- add the StructuredProjectToPlane solver


```
Solver 2
Equation = "HeightDepth"
Procedure = "StructuredProjectToPlane" "StructuredProjectToPlane"
Active Coordinate = Integer 3
```

```
Operator 1 = depth
Operator 2 = height
End
```

write a f90 user function to give the water pressure as a function of time (Step3b)
 For the 2 last points, see Step3c











References

Gagliardini O., F. Gillet-Chaulet, G. Durand, C. Vincent and P. Duval, 2011. Estimating the risk of glacier cavity collapse during artificial drainage: the case of Tête Rousse Glacier. Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L10505, doi:10.1029/2011GL047536.



