Elmer/Ice advanced Workshop

29-31 October 2018

Basal Conditions (Friction laws & Hydrology)

Olivier GAGLIARDINI

IGE - Grenoble - France

LabEx OSUG@2020

Observatoire des Sciences de l'Univers de Grenoble

Basal Conditions

✓ **The Physics**
- Sliding at the base of glacier
- The role of basal water
- Different drainage systems

✓ **Friction laws and Hydrology**
- Linear friction law
- Weertman type friction law
- Water-pressure dependant friction laws
- Double continuum hydrology model
- GlaDS model

✓ **Implementation in Elmer/Ice**
- Various friction laws

✓ **Examples**

Coupling water / friction and more...



Relationship between velocity and water

Velocity and discharge measurements on Bench glacier (Alaska)



@deFleurian

Figure adapted from [Anderson et al., 2004]

Scale of interest





Friction law

$$\tau_b + f(u_n, N, ..) = 0 \, ?$$

$$N = p_i - p_w (= -\sigma_{nn} - p_w)$$

Concept of friction law



[Weertman (1957), Fowler (1981), Gudmundsson (1997)]

How water enhances glacier sliding

If water pressure and/or velocity increase



How does it affect the form of the sliding law ?

Water at the base of glaciers



Effective pressure: $N = -\sigma_{nn} - p_w$

Why is there (liquid) water?



Two types of drainage systems

- Inefficient drainage systems

$$\left.\begin{array}{r}\text{low conductivities}\\ \text{high water pressure}\\ \text{distributed systems}\end{array}\right\}$$

@deFleurian

Two types of drainage systems

- Inefficient drainage systems

low conductivities
high water pressure
distributed systems
}

- Sediment layer



$1.5\text{x}10^{-4}$ to 150 m a^{-1}

Figure from [Freeze and Cherry, 1979]

@deFleurian

Two types of drainage systems

- Inefficient drainage systems

low conductivities
high water pressure
distributed systems

- Sediment layer
- Linked cavities



Figure from [Kamb, 1987]

@deFleurian

Two types of drainage systems

- Inefficient drainage systems

low conductivities
high water pressure
distributed systems

- Sediment layer
- Linked cavities
- Water film



Figure from [Creyts and Schoof, 2009]

@deFleurian

Two types of drainage systems

- Inefficient drainage systems
- Efficient drainage systems

high conductivities
low water pressure
localized systems
} ▶ Channels



@deFleurian

Two types of drainage systems

- Inefficient drainage systems
- Efficient drainage systems

high conductivities
low water pressure
localized systems
} ▸ Channels



@deFleurian

Two tightly-related systems

The link between inefficient and efficient systems is observable in the field

- As a spatial variation

Water load observed across Breidamerkrujökull during Automn Winter transition



Figure adapted from [Boulton et al., 2007]



From [Hewitt, 2011]

@deFleurian

Two tightly-related systems

The link between inefficient and efficient systems is observable in the field

- As a spatial variation
- As a temporal evolution



Channel length evolution during summer on Arolla glacier (Switzerland)

Figure adapted from [Nienow et al., 1998]

@deFleurian

Basal Conditions

- ✓ **The Physics**
  - Sliding at the base of glacier
  - The role of basal water
  - Different drainage systems

- ✓ **Friction laws and Hydrology**
  - Linear friction law
  - Weertman type friction law
  - Water-pressure dependant friction laws
  - Double continuum hydrology model
  - GlaDS model

- ✓ **Implementation in Elmer/Ice**
  - Various friction laws

- ✓ **Examples**

Friction laws

A friction law is a relation that gives the basal shear stress as a function of the sliding velocity and other variables (effective pressure, ...):

$$\begin{cases} \tau_b = \boldsymbol{t} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \\ u_b = \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{t} \\ \sigma_{nn} = \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \\ N = -\sigma_{nn} - p_w \end{cases}$$

$$\boxed{\tau_b + f(u_b, N, ..) = 0}$$

Linear friction laws:

$$\tau_b + \beta u_b = 0$$ β Drag factor or friction parameter

$$u_b + C\tau_b = 0$$ C Sliding parameter

Weertman type friction law (non-linear):

$$\tau_b + (u_b/A_s)^{1/n} = 0$$

A_s Sliding parameter

n Glen's flow law exponent

Friction laws – water pressure dependant

The friction should depend on the water pressure $N = -\sigma_{nn} - p_w$

Raymond and Harrison, 1987, Bindschadler (1983), Budd et al. (1984) :

$u_b + k\tau_b^p N^{-q} = 0$ e.g. $p = n = 3,\ q = 1$

Iken's bound, 1981:

$\tau_b / N < m_{\max}$ $m_{\max}$ the maximum up-slope of the bed

not fulfilled by the previous law

Illustration of Iken's bound

Iken's bound : $\frac{\tau_b}{N} \leq m_{max}$

[Iken (1981), Fowler (1986), Schoof (2005)]





[Gagliardini et al., 2007]

Coulomb-type friction law

Schoof (2005), Gagliardini et al., 2007:

$$\frac{\tau_b}{N} + C\left(\frac{\chi}{1+\alpha\chi^m}\right)^{1/n} = 0$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \chi = \dfrac{u_b}{C^n N^n A_s} \\ \alpha = \dfrac{(m-1)^{m-1}}{m^m} \end{cases}$$

Fulfills the Iken's bound: $0 < \dfrac{\tau_b}{N} \leq C \leq m_{max}$

3 parameters:

$$\begin{cases} A_s \quad [mMPa^{-n}a^{-1}] \\ C \leq m_{max} \\ m \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

- A_s: Sliding parameter in absence of cavitation
- $C \leq m_{max}$: Maximum value of τ_b/N
- $m \geq 1$: Post-peak exponent

Coulomb-type friction law

$$\tau_b = (u_b/A_s)^{1/n}$$

$$\left(\frac{\tau_b}{CN}\right)^n$$



$$\frac{\tau_b}{N} = C\left(\frac{\chi}{1+\alpha\chi^m}\right)^{1/n}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{(m-1)^{m-1}}{m^m}$$

$$\chi = \frac{u_b}{C^n N^n A_s}$$

[Schoof (2005), Gagliardini et al., 2007]

Tsai Coulomb law

$$\tau_b + \min((u_b/A_s)^{1/n}\,;\, fN) = 0$$

[Tsai et al., 2015]

Fulfills the Iken's bound: $0 < \frac{\tau_b}{N} \leq f$

2 parameters:

$$\begin{cases} A_s & [mMPa^{-n}a^{-1}] \\ f & \end{cases}$$

- A_s: Sliding parameter in absence of cavitation
- f: friction coefficient

Comparison



Fig. 1. Iso-values of τ_b ranging from 0.04 to 0.2 MPa given in the basal velocity-effective pressure log–log plane with: (a) Weertman, (b) Budd, (c) Schoof and (d) Tsai laws (Eqns (1)–(4)), for $m = 1/3$, $q = 1$, $C_W = C_B = C_S = 7.624 \times 10^6$ S.I. and $f = C_{max} = 0.5$. Dotted black lines reported on each plot are the iso-values of τ_b given with the Schoof law. The vertical black dotted line corresponds to $N = 1$ MPa.

[Brondex et al., 2017]

Influence of Friction law on GL



[Tsai et al., 2015]



[Brondex et al., 2017]

Basal Conditions

- ✓ **The Physics**
  - Sliding at the base of glacier
  - The role of basal water
  - Different drainage systems

- ✓ **Friction laws and Hydrology**
  - Linear friction law
  - Weertman type friction law
  - Water-pressure dependant friction laws
  - Double continuum hydrology model
  - GlaDS model

- ✓ **Implementation in Elmer/Ice**
  - Various friction laws

- ✓ **Examples**

Two approaches in Elmer/Ice

Double continuum approach

- Developed and implemented by Basile de Fleurian
- in the distribution
- http://elmerice.elmerfem.org/wiki/doku.php?id=solvers:hydrologydc

Cavity sheet and discrete channels

- Model developed by Mauro Werder (Werder et al., 2013)
- Implemented in Elmer by O. Gagliardini
- In the distribution
- http://elmerice.elmerfem.org/wiki/doku.php?id=solvers:glads

The double continuum approach

Karstified hydrology methods developed while facing difficulties to model conduit drainage [Teutsch and Sauter, 1991]

2 systems ≠ characteristics	Similar media ≠ conductivities	Verticaly integrated



C.E.L : Channel Equivalent Layer

@deFleurian

Computation of the water load

Vertically-integrated computation of the water load h_w

$$\mathrm{div}\,[\mathbf{T}\,\mathrm{grad}\,h_w] = S\frac{\partial h_w}{\partial t} + qe$$

Relies on the transmitivity $\mathbf{T}$ and storage coeficient S of the aquifer

$$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{K}e\,;\ S = \rho_w g e \omega \left[\beta_w + \frac{\alpha}{\omega}\right]$$

ρ_w	Water density	q	Sink/Source term
e	Layer thickness	ω	porosity
$\mathbf{K}$	Sediment conductivity	α	Porous media compressibility
β_w	Water compressibility		

@deFleurian

3 states of the Channel Equivalent Layer



@deFleurian

GlaDS model (Werder et al., 2013; Gagliardini and Werder, 2018)

Two components system, 3 variables: Φ, h, S

Network of cavities



Cavity thickness h
(nodal variable)

Channels



Channel cross-sectional area : S
(edge variable)



$$\sum_i \int_{\Omega_i} \left[\theta \frac{e_v}{\rho_w g} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \nabla \theta \cdot \boldsymbol{q} + \theta \left(w - m_b - v \right) \right] \mathrm{d}\Omega$$

$$+ \sum_j \int_{\Gamma_j} \left[- \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial s} Q + \theta \left(\frac{\Xi - \Pi}{L} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_i} - \frac{1}{\rho_w} \right) - v_c \right) \right] \mathrm{d}\Gamma$$

$$+ \int_{\partial \Omega_N} \theta q_N \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma - \sum_k \theta \left(- \frac{A_m^k}{\rho_w g} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + Q_s^k \right) = 0 \, ,$$

GlaDS model, cavities


[Creyts et al., 2010]

Discharge (Darcy-Weisbach law) :

$$\boldsymbol{q} = -kh^{\alpha} |\,\mathrm{grad}\,\phi|^{\beta-2}\,\mathrm{grad}\,\phi$$

Cavity thickness evolution :

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = w(h) - v(h, \phi)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} v(h,\phi) = \tilde{A}h|N|^{n-1}N & \text{creep, closing (opening)} \\ w(h) = \max(0; \dfrac{u_b}{l_r}(h_r - h)) & \text{opening term} \end{cases}$$

GlaDS model, Channels

Discharge (Darcy-Weisbach law) :

$$Q = -k_c S^{\alpha_c} \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s} \right|^{\beta_c - 2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s}$$



[Creyts et al., 2010]

Channel cross-sectional area evolution :

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{\Xi(S,\phi) - \Pi(S,\phi)}{\rho_i L} - v_c(S,\phi)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} v_c(S,\phi) = \tilde{A}_c S |N|^{n-1} N & \text{Creep, closing (opening)} \\ \Xi(\phi) = \left| Q \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s} \right| + \left| l_c q_c \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s} \right| & \text{Energy dissipated} \\ \Pi(S,\phi) = -c_t c_w \rho_w (Q + f l_c q_c) \frac{\partial \phi - \phi_m}{\partial s} & \text{Sensible heat change} \end{cases}$$

Comparison

	Double Continuum	GlaDS
Cavity only	=	=
Channels	continuous	discrete
Coupling	$N \rightarrow u$	$N \leftrightarrow u$
Channels closing	No	Yes



[de Fleurian et al., 2018 SHMIP paper]

Basal Conditions

- ✓ **The Physics**
  - Sliding at the base of glacier
  - The role of basal water
  - Different drainage systems

- ✓ **Friction laws and Hydrology**
  - Linear friction law
  - Weertman type friction law
  - Water-pressure dependant friction laws
  - Double continuum hydrology model
  - GlaDS model

- ✓ **Implementation in Elmer/Ice**
  - Various friction laws
  - GlaDS solvers

- ✓ **Examples**

Friction laws in Elmer/Ice

Friction law in Elmer:

$$C_i u_i = \sigma_{ij} n_j \text{ with } i = 1, 2, 3$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}$ is the surface normal vector



In Normal-Tangential coordinate : $\boldsymbol{n} = (1, 0, 0)$

and
$$\begin{cases} C_n u_n = \sigma_{nn} \\ C_{t_1} u_{t_1} = \sigma_{nt_1} \\ C_{t_2} u_{t_2} = \sigma_{nt_2} \end{cases}$$

Friction law applied through the two Slip Coefficients 2 and 3

```
! Bedrock BC
Boundary Condition 1
  Target Boundaries = 1

  Flow Force BC = Logical True
  Normal-Tangential Velocity = Logical True

  Velocity 1 = Real 0.0e0
  Slip Coefficient 2 = Real 0.1
  Slip Coefficient 3 = Real 0.1
End
```

Friction laws in Elmer/Ice

Linear friction laws:

$$\tau_b = \beta u_b$$

```
$beta = 0.1

Slip Coefficient 2 = Real $ beta
Slip Coefficient 3 = Real $ beta
```

Non-Linear friction laws:

Need a User Function to evaluate the Slip Coefficient

Rewrite the friction law in the form $\tau_b = C_t(u_b) u_b$
where $C_t(u_b)$ is the `Slip Coefficient` estimated through a user function

Weertman: $$C_t(u_b) = u_b^{(1-n)/n} / A_s^{1-n}$$

Schoof, 2005
Gagliardini et al., 2007

$$C_t(u_b) = CN \left(\frac{\chi u_b^{-n}}{1 + \chi^m} \right)^{1/n}$$

with $\chi = \frac{u_b}{C^n N^n A_s}$

Friction laws in Elmer/ice

Problem when $u_b \rightarrow 0$

The law is linearized for small velocity:

$$\begin{cases} C_t(u_b) = C_t(u_b) \text{ for } u_b > u_{t0} \\ C_t(u_b) = C_t(u_{t0}) \text{ for } u_b \leq u_{t0} \end{cases}$$

Example of a call (File USF_Sliding.f90):

```
 Normal-Tangential Velocity = Logical True
 Flow Force BC = Logical True

!! Water pressure given through the Stokes 'External Pressure' parameter
!! (Negative = Compressive)
 External Pressure = Equals Water Pressure

 Velocity 1 = Real 0.0
 Slip Coefficient 2 = Variable Coordinate 1
     Real Procedure "ElmerIceUSF" "Friction_Coulomb"

!! PARAMETERS NEEDED FOR THE BASAL SLIDING LAW
 Friction Law Sliding Coefficient = Real $As
 Friction Law Post-Peak Exponent  = Real $m
 Friction Law Maximum Value = Real $C
 Friction Law PowerLaw Exponent = Real $n
 Friction Law Linear Velocity = Real $ut0
```

GlaDS solvers

Three solvers:

- GlaDSCoupledSolver: main solver, all 3 variables ϕ, h, S are solved in a coupled way

- GlaDSsheetThickDummy: just here to declare h (to save previous values)

- GlaDSchannelOut: just here to export in VTU format edge type variables (not accounted for by ResultOutput solver).

Coupling with SSA: user functions HorizontalVelo and OverburdenPressure.

Including Moulins (101 boundary elements) in serial or parallel meshes : python tool makemoulin.py in Meshers\

Basal Conditions

- ✓ **The Physics**
  - Sliding at the base of glacier
  - The role of basal water
  - Different drainage systems

- ✓ **Friction laws and Hydrology**
  - Linear friction law
  - Weertman type friction law
  - Water-pressure dependant friction law
  - Double continuum hydrology model
  - GlaDS model

- ✓ **Implementation in Elmer/Ice**
  - Various friction laws

- ✓ **Examples**

Examples

Friction :

Weertman

- Tests/GL_MISMIP, Tests/Contact, Tests/Friction_Weertman.
- http://elmerice.elmerfem.org/wiki/doku.php?id=userfunctions:weertman

Coulomb

- Tests : Tests/Friction_Coulomb and Tests/Friction_Coulomb_Pw
- http://elmerice.elmerfem.org/wiki/doku.php?id=userfunctions:coulomb

Budd

- http://elmerice.elmerfem.org/wiki/doku.php?id=userfunctions:budd#general_description

Hydrology :

Double continuum approach

- Tests/Hydro_SedOnly and Tests/Hydro_Coupled
- http://elmerice.elmerfem.org/wiki/doku.php?id=solvers:hydrologydc

Cavity sheet and discrete channels

- Tests/GlaDS, GlaDS_SSA, GlaDS_3dMesh, GlaDS_3dInt
- http://elmerice.elmerfem.org/wiki/doku.php?id=solvers:glads

References

de Fleurian, B., M. Werder, S. Beyer, D. Brinkerhoff, I. Delaney, C. Dow, C., J. Dows, O. Gagliardini, M.J. Hoffman, R. LeB Hooke, J. Seguinot, A.N. Sommers, 2018. SHMIP The subglacial hydrology model intercomparison Project. Journal of Glaciology, 1-20. doi:10.1017/jog.2018.78

Gagliardini O. and M. Werder, 2018. Influence of increasing surface melt over decadal timescales on land-terminating Greenland-type outlet glaciers, Journal of Glaciology, 64(247), 700-710, doi:10.1017/jog.2018.59

Gladstone, R.M., R.C. Warner, B.K. Galton-Fenzi, O. Gagliardini, T. Zwinger and R. Greve, 2017. Marine ice sheet model performance depends on basal sliding physics and sub-shelf melting, The Cryosphere, 11, 319-329, doi:10.5194/tc-11-319-2017

de Fleurian, B., O. Gagliardini, T. Zwinger, G. Durand, E. Le Meur, D. Mair, and P. Råback, 2014. A double continuum hydrological model for glacier applications, The Cryosphere, 8, 137-153, doi:10.5194/tc-8-137-2014.

Gagliardini, O., T. Zwinger, F. Gillet-Chaulet, G. Durand, L. Favier, B. de Fleurian, R. Greve, M. Malinen, C. Martín, P. Råback, J. Ruokolainen, M. Sacchettini, M. Schäfer, H. Seddik, and J. Thies, 2013. Capabilities and performance of Elmer/Ice, a new-generation ice sheet model, Geosci. Model Dev., 6, 1299-1318, doi:10.5194/gmd-6-1299-2013.

Gagliardini O., D. Cohen, P. Råback and T. Zwinger, 2007. Finite-Element Modeling of Subglacial Cavities and Related Friction Law. J. of Geophys. Res., Earth Surface, 112, F02027.